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**Classification of Recommendations and Levels of Evidence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL A</th>
<th>Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sufficient evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEVEL B</td>
<td>Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence derived from single randomized trials or nonrandomized studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEVEL C</td>
<td>Very limited populations evaluated*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A recommendation with Level of Evidence B or C does not imply that the recommendation is weak. Many important clinical questions addressed in the guidelines do not lend themselves to clinical trials. Although randomized trials are unavailable, there may be a very clear clinical consensus that a particular test or therapy is useful or effective.

*Data available from clinical trials or registries about the usefulness/efficacy in different subpopulations, such as sex, age, history of diabetes, history of prior myocardial infarction, history of heart failure, and prior aspirin use.

†For comparative effectiveness recommendations (Class I and IIa; Level of Evidence A and B only), studies that support the use of comparator verbs should involve direct comparisons of the treatments or strategies being evaluated.
NHLBI Charge to the Work Group

• Examine the scientific evidence on risk assessment for initial ASCVD events, and develop an approach for risk assessment that could be used in practice and used or adapted by the risk factor panels in their guidelines.

• Specifically, the Work Group was charged with 2 tasks:
  1. To develop or recommend an approach to quantitative risk assessment that could be used to guide care; and
  2. To pose and address a small number of questions judged to be critical to refining and adopting risk assessment in clinical practice, using systematic review methodology.
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ASCVD Risk Calculator
Considerations

• RAWG endorsed the paradigm of 10-year risk estimation

• Existing risk scores vary with regard to:
  – Derivation populations
    • Age, sex, race, birth cohort, country/region of origin
  – Inputs
    • Traditional RFs ± family hx, BMI, SES, region, CRP
  – Outcomes
    • CVD death, Total CHD (incl revasc), Total CHD, Hard CHD, Total CVD (revasc), Hard CVD (incl HF)
ASCVD Risk Calculator Development

- RAWG judged new risk tool was needed
  - Inclusive of African Americans and with expanded endpoint including stroke
- Sought cohorts representative of the US population as a whole
  - Community- or population-based
  - Whites and African Americans (at a minimum)
  - Recent follow up data of at least 10 years
  * Reflect more contemporary risk factor trends and event rates, ideally without significant downstream uptake of statins/revascularization
ASCVD Risk Calculator
Development

• Pooled Cohort Equations
  – Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC)
  – Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS)
  – Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA)
  – Framingham Original and Offspring

• Hard ASCVD
  – CHD death, non-fatal MI, fatal/non-fatal stroke

• Models tested using traditional RFs + newer markers when possible

• Internal and external validation
# ASCVD Risk Calculator

## Model Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White Women</th>
<th>AA Women</th>
<th>White Men</th>
<th>AA Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>11,240</td>
<td>2641</td>
<td>9098</td>
<td>1647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>40-79</td>
<td>40-79</td>
<td>40-79</td>
<td>40-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C statistic</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration $\chi^2$</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>6.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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External Validation: MESA

**ASCVD White: MESA**

- **Women**
- **Men**

**ASCVD Black: MESA**

- **Women**
- **Men**

Risk Group:
- Observed (KM-Adj)
- Expected
ASCVD Risk Calculator

Search “ACC/AHA Prevention Guidelines risk calculator”

The American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology are excited to provide a series of new cardiovascular prevention guidelines for the assessment of cardiovascular risk, lifestyle modifications that reduce risk, management of elevated blood cholesterol, and management of increased body weight in adults. To support the implementation of these guidelines, the new Pooled Cohort Equations CV Risk Calculator and additional Prevention Guideline Tools are available below. Others may be developed and available in the near future.

This downloadable spreadsheet is a companion tool to the 2013 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk. The spreadsheet enables health care providers and patients to estimate 10-year and lifetime risks for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), defined as coronary death or nonfatal myocardial infarction, or fatal or nonfatal stroke, based on the Pooled Cohort Equations and the work of Lloyd-Jones, et al., respectively. The information required to estimate ASCVD risk includes age, sex, race, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, blood pressure lowering medication use, diabetes status, and smoking status.

Estimates of 10-year risk for ASCVD are based on data from multiple community-based populations and are applicable to African-American and non-Hispanic white men and women 40 through 79 years of age. For other ethnic groups, we recommend use of the equations for non-Hispanic whites, though these estimates may underestimate the risk for persons from some race/ethnic groups, especially American Indians, some Asian Americans (e.g., of south Asian ancestry), and some Hispanics (e.g., Puerto Ricans), and may overestimate the risk for others, including some Asian Americans (e.g., of east Asian ancestry) and some Hispanics (e.g., Mexican Americans).

Estimates of lifetime risk for ASCVD are provided for adults 20 through 59 years of age and are shown as the lifetime risk for ASCVD for a 50-year-old without ASCVD who has the risk factor values entered into the spreadsheet. The estimates of lifetime risk are most directly applicable to non-Hispanic whites. We recommend the use of these values for other race/ethnic groups, though as mentioned above, these estimates may represent under- and overestimates for persons of various ethnic groups. Because the primary use of these lifetime risk estimates is to facilitate the very important discussion regarding risk reduction through lifestyle change, the imprecision introduced is small enough to justify proceeding with lifestyle change counseling informed by these results.

Clinical Vignettes
## ASCVD Risk Calculator
### Pooled Cohort Equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Acceptable range of values</th>
<th>Optimal values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M or F</td>
<td>M or F</td>
<td>M or F</td>
<td>M or F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>years</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>AA or WH</td>
<td>AA or WH</td>
<td>AA or WH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>130-320</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>20-100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>90-200</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment for High Blood Pressure</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ASCVD Risk Calculator

## Pooled Cohort Equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Acceptable range of values</th>
<th>Optimal values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M or F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M or F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20-79</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>AA or WH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AA or WH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>130-320</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-Cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>20-100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>90-200</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment for High Blood Pressure</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y or N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASCVD Risk Calculator
55 yo AA and White Women

African American Women

Your 10-Year ASCVD Risk (%)
7.7
Optimal (%)
1.8

White Women

Your 10-Year ASCVD Risk (%)
3.6
Optimal (%)
1.4
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Recommendations for 10-Year ASCVD Risk Estimation

The race- and sex-specific Pooled Cohort Equations to predict 10-year risk for a first hard ASCVD event should be used in non-Hispanic African Americans and non-Hispanic Whites, 40 to 79 years of age.

Use of the sex-specific Pooled Cohort Equations for non-Hispanic Whites may be considered when estimating risk in patients from populations other than African Americans and non-Hispanic Whites.
NHLBI Charge to the Work Group

• Examine the scientific evidence on risk assessment for initial ASCVD events, and develop an approach for risk assessment that could be used in practice and used or adapted by the risk factor panels in their guidelines.

• Specifically, the Work Group was charged with 2 tasks:
  1. To develop or recommend an approach to quantitative risk assessment that could be used to guide care; and
  2. To pose and address a small number of questions judged to be critical to refining and adopting risk assessment in clinical practice, using systematic review methodology.
Systematic Review Process

• CQs relevant to clinical practice
• A priori inclusion/exclusion (I/E) criteria
• Independent contractor conducted literature search
• Literature search through April, 2011
• Updated search for CQ#1 through September, 2013
Critical Question #1

• CQ1: “What is the evidence regarding reclassification or contribution to risk assessment when the following are considered in addition to the variables that are in the traditional risk scores?”
  • High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP)
  • Apolipoprotein B (ApoB)
  • Glomerular filtration rate (eGFR)
  • Microalbuminuria
  • Family history
  • Cardiorespiratory fitness
  • Ankle-brachial index (ABI)
  • Carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT)
  • Coronary artery calcium (CAC) score
Recommendations for Additional Testing if Uncertainty Remains After 10-Year Risk Assessment

If, after quantitative risk assessment, a risk-based treatment decision is uncertain, assessment of 1 or more of the following — family history, hs-CRP, CAC score, or ABI — may be considered to inform treatment decision making.

CIMT is not recommended for routine measurement in clinical practice for risk assessment for a first ASCVD event. (Class III – No Benefit)
Critical Question #2

• CQ2: “Are models constructed to assess the long-term (15 years or lifetime) risk for a first CVD event in adults effective in assessing variation in long-term risk among adults at low and/or intermediate short-term risk, whether analyzed separately or combined?”

• Developed to assess the utility of long-term and lifetime risk assessment as an adjunct to short-term (10-year) risk assessment
  • Especially among those at low 10-year risk
Recommendations for Long-Term ASCVD Risk Estimation

It is reasonable to assess traditional ASCVD risk factors every 4 to 6 years in adults 20 to 79 years of age who are free from ASCVD and to estimate 10-year ASCVD risk every 4 to 6 years in adults 40 to 79 years of age without ASCVD.

Assessing 30-year or lifetime ASCVD risk based on traditional risk factors may be considered in adults 20 to 59 years of age without ASCVD and who are not at high short-term risk.
Does the patient have existing clinical ASCVD?

Yes → See AHA/ACC Secondary Prevention Guideline

No → Is the patient <20 y or >79 y of age?

Yes → See Pediatric Guidelines and ACC/AHA Adult Primary Prevention Guidelines
  - Blood Cholesterol
  - Obesity

No → Assess traditional risk factors every 4-6 y in patients 20-79 y of age; estimate 10-y risk in those 40-79 y of age using Pooled Cohort Equations

Low 10-y risk (<7.5%)

Assess 30-y or lifetime risk in those 20-59 y of age; Communicate risk data regardless of age and refer to AHA/ACC Lifestyle Guideline

Elevated 10-y risk (≥7.5%)

Communicate risk data and refer to AHA/ACC Prevention Guidelines
  - Blood Cholesterol
  - Obesity
Evidence Gaps and Future Research Needs

• The Work Group strongly recommends continued research to fill gaps in knowledge
  – Short- and long-term ASCVD risk assessment and outcomes in all age/sex/race groups
  – Optimal communication of ASCVD risk
  – Utility of risk assessment for motivating behavioral change and adherence to therapy
  – Utility of differential information conveyed by short- and long-term risk assessment
  – Utility of novel risk markers and disease screening in short- and long-term risk assessment